

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/724,100	12/01/2003	Roderick M. Townes	84715 3054 GNN	1413
	20736 7	7590 08/16/2005		EXAMINER	
		ANELLI DENISON & SELTER 0 M STREET NW SUITE 700 ASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307		VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3745	-
				3745	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>)</i> 9			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,100	TOWNES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher Verdier	3745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	14 June 2005				
	This action is non-final.				
,	· <u> </u>				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12-1-03, 6-14-05	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International It * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International It * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International It * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International It is the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International It is the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International It is the certified copies of	uments have been received. uments have been received in Appe e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
	·	,			
Attachment(s)	🕝				
1)	4) ☐ Interview Sui 48) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Applicants' amendment dated June 14, 2005 has been carefully considered but is nonpersuasive. Claims 1-8 and 10-11 are pending. Claims 9 and 12 have been canceled,
overcoming the objection to the drawings set forth in the first Office action. The replacement
sheet for new figure 5 is approved by the examiner. The specification has been amended to
correct the informality set forth in the first Office action. The claims have been amended to
overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph set forth in the first Office action.
The certified copy of the priority document has been received. Correction of the above matters
is noted with appreciation.

With regard to Broadhead 5,531,568, Applicants have argued that cooling outlets 30 are positioned along the edge of the surface 23 to dispense cooling air over diagonally extending ribs 31 which serve a completely different function that is contrary to what is sought to be achieved by the present application, and specifically, the turbulator ribs 31 provide turbulence in the cooling airflow to enhance heat exchange between the cooling air and the shroud, and that there is no desire to provide a strata layer as set forth in the presently claimed invention, and that the fences 29 of Broadhead are not configured to cooperate with the turbulence inducing ribs. These arguments are not persuasive, because the flow entrainment fins 29 of Broadhead are configured and arranged so as to create flow channels therebetween, along which the coolant flow directed from release passages 30 is entrained and driven to create a layer strata that is isolated from turbulent air created by a shroud 23 or an unnumbered leading edge of the blade tip. The shroud has sealing fins 32 at the leading edge, which also serve to isolate the layer strata from turbulent air created by the shroud 23 or the leading edge of the blade tip. Column 3, lines 10-15 state that

the air exhausted from apertures 30 flows as a film across the shroud 23 radially outer surface, which is equivalent to the claimed "layer strata". Concerning Applicants' argument that the fences 29 of Broadhead are not configured to cooperate with the turbulence inducing ribs, this argument is not persuasive because this feature is not set forth in the Applicants' claims.

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With regard to Eiswerth 4,390,320, Applicants have argued that there is no disclosure of any structure corresponding to flow entrainment fins arranged and configured to create flow channels along which any coolant can flow to create a layer strata that is isolated from turbulent air created by the shroud of the leading edge of the blade tip, but that all of the cooling apertures in Eiswerth appear to direct the cooling flow substantially radially outwardly into the turbulence created by the blade tip. These arguments are not persuasive, because column 6, lines 54-57 of Eiswerth state that after impinging upon the ribs 32a, 32b, 32c, the air released from the release passages 23, 24 then becomes a film of cooling air along the radially outer portions of the side surface of the ribs. This is equivalent to the claimed "layer strata". In Eiswerth, the flow entrainment fins 32a, 32b, 32c are configured and arranged so as to create flow channels therebetween, along which the coolant flow directed from release passages 23, 24 is entrained and driven to create a layer strata that is isolated from turbulent air created by a leading edge 14 of the blade tip. Because the blade of Eiswerth rotates counterclockwise as seen in figure 3, the film of cooling air along the radially outer portions of the side surface of the ribs 32a, 32b, 32c,

in the flow channels therebetween, is isolated from turbulent air created by the leading edge 14 of the blade tip (when flowing along the side surfaces of the ribs between the flow channels and radially inward of the exterior of the ribs).

Applicants have not addressed the rejections under 35 USC 102 set forth in the previous Office action based on Lee 5,733,102, Lee 6,790,005, and Japanese Patent Publication 58-47,104, and this amendment could have been held to be non-responsive. See MPEP 714.02 and 714.03. Amended claim 1 defines over these references.

Claim Objections

Claims 5-8 and 10-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 5, lines 2-3, "to form channels for entrainment of the coolant flow" should be deleted, because this limitation is already recited in claim 1.

In claim 11, line 2, "define channels" is objectionable, because this limitation is already recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. In claim 3, line 2, "the flow entrainment means" is inaccurate and should be changed to -- the flow entrainment fins --. In claim 4, lines 2-3, "the flow entrainment means" is inaccurate for the same reason. In claim 5, lines 1-2, "the flow entrainment means" is inaccurate for the same reason. In claim 11, lines 1-2, "the flow entrainment means" is inaccurate for the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Broadhead 5,531,568. Note the blade cooling arrangement comprising a blade tip including a coolant gallery 28 and flow entrainment fins 29, the coolant gallery being in use upstream of the flow entrainment fins 29, the gallery including release passages 30 to release coolant in use close to the blade tip surface with the flow entrainment fins being configured and arranged as to create flow channels therebetween along which the coolant is entrained and driven thereby to create a layer strata that is isolated from turbulent air created by sealing fins 32 of a shroud 23 or an unnumbered leading edge of the blade tip, with the gallery including a cavity from which the

release passages extend, with the release passages 30 extending laterally towards the flow entrainment fins, with the flow entrainment fins comprising upstanding fins to form channels for entrainment of the coolant flow, the fins extending above the height of the release passages, the fins being substantially perpendicular to the blade tip surface, with each fin having substantially the same height, with the fins providing additional contact surface area for enhanced heat transfer to the coolant airflow, with the flow entrainment fins defining channels through which the coolant flow is driven in use by rotation of the blade tip (see column 3, lines 6-8 and note that the coolant flow through the channels is forced therethrough in a direction opposite to the direction of rotation). Column 3, lines 10-15 state that the air exhausted from apertures 30 flows as a film across the shroud 23 radially outer surface, which is equivalent to the claimed "layer strata".

Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eiswerth 4,390,320. Note the blade cooling arrangement comprising a blade tip including a coolant gallery (unnumbered, formed by walls 16 and 17 in figure 3) and flow entrainment fins 32a, 32b, 32c, the coolant gallery being in use upstream of the flow entrainment fins, the gallery including release passages 23, 24 to release coolant in use close to the blade tip surface with the flow entrainment fins being configured and arranged so as to create flow channels therebetween along which the coolant is entrained and driven thereby to create a layer strata that is isolated from turbulent air created by a leading edge 14 of the blade tip, with the gallery including a cavity from which the release passages extend, with the flow entrainment fins comprising upstanding fins 32a, 32b, 32c to form channels for entrainment of the coolant flow, with the fins

extending above the height of the release passages, and the fins being substantially perpendicular to the blade tip surface, with the fins providing additional contact surface area for enhanced heat transfer to the coolant airflow, with the flow entrainment means defining channels through which the coolant flow is driven in use by rotation of the blade tip (note that the coolant flow through the channels is forced therethrough in a direction opposite to the direction of rotation). Column 6, lines 54-57 of Eiswerth state that after impinging upon the ribs 32a, 32b, 32c, the air released from the release passages 23, 24 then becomes a film of cooling air along the radially outer portions of the side surface of the ribs. This is equivalent to the claimed "layer strata". Because the blade of Eiswerth rotates counterclockwise as seen in figure 3, the film of cooling air along the radially outer portions of the side surface of the ribs 32a, 32b, 32c, in the flow channels therebetween, is isolated from turbulent air created by the leading edge 14 of the blade tip (when flowing along the side surfaces of the ribs between the flow channels and radially inward of the exterior of the ribs).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V. August 10, 2005 Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745